

"İŞ, GÜÇ" ENDÜSTRİ İLİŞKİLERİ VE İNSAN KAYNAKLARI DERGİSİ
"IS, GUC" INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS AND HUMAN RESOURCES JOURNAL

Hotel Star Ratings And Perceptions Of Servant Leadership And Service Quality Provided By Front-Line Service Workers

In Four-And Five-Star Hotels In Turkey:

An Exploratory Study

Ronald J. BURKE

York University

Mustafa KOYUNCU

Onsekiz Mart University

Marina ASHTAKOVA

University of Texas, Tyler

Duygu EREN

Nevsehir University

Hayrullah ÇETİN

Sinop University

Temmuz/July 2014, Cilt/Vol: 16, Sayı/Num: 3, Page: 03-09 ISSN: 1303-2860, DOI: 10.4026/1303-2860.2014.0251.x

Makalenin on-line kopyasına erişmek için / To reach the on-line copy of article:

http://www.isguc.org/?p=article&id=549&cilt=16&sayi=3&yil=2014

Makale İçin İletişim/Correspondence to:

Mustafa KOYUNCU, Onsekiz Mart University, email: mkoyuncu34@gmail.com



© 2000- 2014 "İşGüç" Endüstri İlişkileri ve İnsan Kaynakları Dergisi "İsGüç" Industrial Relations and Human Resources Journal

Temmuz/July 2014, Cilt/Vol: 16, Sayı/Num: 3, Page: 01-09 ISSN: 1303-2860, DOI: 10.4026/1303-2860.2014.0251.x

İş,Güç, Endüstri İlişkileri ve İnsan Kaynakları Dergisi, yılda dört kez yayınlanan hakemli, bilimsel elektronik dergidir. Çalışma hayatına ilişkin makalelere yer verilen derginin temel amacı, belirlenen alanda akademik gelişime ve paylaşıma katkıda bulunmaktadır.

İş, Güç, Endüstri İlişkileri ve İnsan Kaynakları Dergisi, 'Türkçe' ve 'İngilizce' olarak iki dilde makale yayınlanmaktadır.

Dergimiz İş,Güç; ulusal ve uluslararası birçok indekste taranmaktadır. (Cabells Directory, Ebsco Socindex, Index Islamicus, Index Copernicus, Worldwide Political Science Abstracts, Sociological Abstract, Ulakbim Sosyal BilimlerVeritanı, Asos Index)

Editörler Kurulu / Editorial Board

Aşkın Keser (Uludağ University) K.Ahmet Sevimli (Uludağ University) Şenol Baştürk (Uludağ University)

Editör/Editor in Chief Şenol Baştürk (Uludağ University)

Uygulama / Design Yusuf Budak (Kocaeli University)

Tarandığı Indeksler/Indexes
ASOS INDEX
CABELLS DIRECTORY
EBSCO SOCINDEX
Index ISLAMICUS
Index COPERNICUS
Sociological Abstract
ULAKBİM Sosyal Bilimler
Veritanı
Worldwide Political Science
Abstracts

Yayın Kurulu / Editorial Board

Yrd.Doç.Dr.Zerrin Fırat (Uludağ University)
Prof.Dr.Aşkın Keser (Uludağ University)
Prof.Dr.Ahmet Selamoğlu (Kocaeli University)
Yrd.Doç.Dr.Ahmet Sevimli (Uludağ University)
Doç.Dr.Abdulkadir Şenkal (Kocaeli University)
Doç.Dr.Gözde Yılmaz (Marmara University)
Yrd.Doç.Dr.Dr.Memet Zencirkıran (Uludağ University)

Uluslararası Danışma Kurulu / International Advisory Board

Prof.Dr.Ronald Burke (York University-Kanada)
Assoc.Prof.Dr.Glenn Dawes (James Cook University-Avustralya)
Prof.Dr.Jan Dul (Erasmus University-Hollanda)
Prof.Dr.Alev Efendioğlu (University of San Francisco-ABD)
Prof.Dr.Adrian Furnham (University College London-İngiltere)
Prof.Dr.Alan Geare (University of Otago-Yeni Zellanda)
Prof.Dr. Ricky Griffin (TAMU-Texas A&M University-ABD)
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Diana Lipinskiene (Kaunos University-Litvanya)
Prof.Dr.George Manning (Northern Kentucky University-ABD)
Prof. Dr. William (L.) Murray (University of San Francisco-ABD)
Prof.Dr.Mustafa Özbilg in (Bruner University-UK)
Assoc. Prof. Owen Stanley (James Cook University-Avustralya)
Prof.Dr.Işık Urla Zeytinoğlu (McMaster University-Kanada)

Ulusal Danışma Kurulu / National Advisory Board

Prof.Dr.Yusuf Alper (Uludağ University)
Prof.Dr.Veysel Bozkurt (İstanbul University)
Prof.Dr.Toker Dereli (Işık University)
Prof.Dr.Nihat Erdoğmuş (İstanbul Şehir University)
Prof.Dr.Ahmet Makal (Ankara University)
Prof.Dr.Ahmet Selamoğlu (Kocaeli University)
Prof.Dr.Nadir Suğur (Anadolu University)
Prof.Dr.Nursel Telman (Maltepe University)
Prof.Dr.Cavide Uyargil (İstanbul University)
Prof.Dr.Engin Yıldırım (Anayasa Mahkemesi)

Doç.Dr.Arzu Wasti (Sabancı University)

Dergide yayınlanan yazılardaki görüşler ve bu konudaki sorumluluk yazarlarına aittir. Yayınlanan eserlerde yer alan tüm içerik kaynak gösterilmeden kullanılamaz.

All the opinions written in articles are under responsibilities of the outhors. The published contents in the articles cannot be used without being cited

Hotel Star Ratings And Perceptions Of Servant Leadership And Service Quality Provided By Front-Line Service Workers In Four-And Five-Star Hotels In Turkey: An Exploratory Study*

Ronald J. BURKE

York University

Mustafa KOYUNCU

Onsekiz Mart University

Marina ASHTAKOVA

University of Texas, Tyler

Duygu EREN

Nevsehir University

Hayrullah ÇETİN

Sinop University

Abstract

This research examined employee perceptions of servant leadership provided by their supervisors/managers and employees' reports of service quality provided to clients by their hotels by front line workers employed in four- and five –star hotels in Turkey. Data were collected from 221 front-line employees, a 37% response rate, 104 working in four-star hotels and 93 working in five-star hotels, using anonymously completed questionnaires. Consistent with other research on front-line workers, respondents were generally young, had relatively short organizational tenures, and had high school educations. Previously developed and validated measures of servant leadership (Liden, Wayne, Zhao & Henderson, 2008) and service quality (Parasuraman, Zeithaml & Berry, 1998) were used and both were found to be highly reliable in this study. Respondents working in five-star hotels reported lower levels of servant leadership with respondents working in four- and five=tar hotels indicating similar levels of service quality.

Keywords: Social Exchange Theory, perceived organizational support, organizational identity, helping behavior, Mediating Role,

Introduction

This research examines the relationship supervisor/manager of perceptions of leadership and quality of service provided by front-line employees of four- and five-star hotels in Turkey. There is considerable writing (see Kusluvan, Kusluvan, Ilhan &Buyruk, 2010; Kusluvan, 2003, for a review) that ways front-one workers are managed contributes to organizational performance and success. Effective leadership influence employee motivation and commitment, increases the quality of service provided to clients, increases client satisfaction and retention, and makes these organizations more competitive in a demanding marketplace.

Leadership may have greater importance in the tourism and hospitality industry given the negative image of working in this sector Negative features include low levels of pay, seasonal work, long work hours, the routine nature of many of the jobs, high turnover rates among front-one workers, and the presence of poorly trained and autocratic supervision (Ayupp & Chung 2010; Baum, 2007; Kusluvan, Kusluvan, Ilhan & Buyruk, 2010). Human resource management policies and practices have historically received low levels of attention in this sector.

The tourism sector in most countries is facing several challenges including a low educated workforce, high turnover among front-line employees, low job satisfaction and low pay, poor working conditions such as very long hours, and autocratic and untrained supervision (Kusluvan & Kusluvan, 2001; Yesiltas, Ozturk & Hemmington, 2010). Improved leadership is one possible avenue for addressing these challenges.

Quality of service

Providing a high quality of service is important in contributing to the success of organizations in the hospitality and tourism sector. But assessing service quality can be complicated since service quality is primarily subjective involving an interpersonal experience of an individual providing a service and an individual receiving service. Service is

an intangible. This suggests the use of quantitative measures of perceived quality. These perceptions are also different from satisfaction with services provided.

Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (1998) describe in great detail the development of a multidimensional scale for measuring perceptions of service quality. They define perceived service quality as a global judgment about the superiority of the service provided. Satisfaction, on the other hand, relates to a particular transaction. Service quality then includes several dimensions. Their measure was used in this investigation.

Servant leadership emerged as a potentially important leadership concept in the late 1990s and early 2000s (Greenleaf, 1977; George, 2003; Boyatzis & McKee, 2005). It emerged as a response to the dysfunctional, greedy, self-serving and failing leadership exhibited during this time. Servant leadership focusses on serving the needs of employees and larger communities inside and outside an organization. Servant leaders help employees reach their full potential. Servant leadership builds trust by helping others first (Greenleaf, 1977).

Liden, Wayne, Zhao and Henderson (2008)developed and validated multidimensional measure of servant leadership and found that it predicted community citizenship behaviors, and in-role organizational commitment performance in a sample of 164 employees and 28 supervisors from a single production and distribution company. We use the Liden et. al. measures in this investigation.

Method

Procedure

Data were collected from men and women working in four- and five-star hotels in Nevsehir Turkey using anonymously completed questionnaires between April and July 2012. Hotel managers were contacted and asked for help in the distribution and administration of the questionnaires. A total

of 600 questionnaires were delivered to fourteen hotel managers who agreed to take part. A total of 221 questionnaires were received, a response rate of thirty-seven percent with 104 employed in four-star hotels and 93 in five-star hotels. All held front-line service jobs in these properties.

hypotheses Three general considered. First, employees working in fourand five-star hotels would be similar on personal and work situation characteristics gender, organizational (e.g., age, tenure). Second, employees working in five-star hotels would perceive the quality of servant leadership provided to them by their supervisors/managers as lower than that provided to employees of four-star hotels. Supervisors/managers in five star hotels have greater responsibilities and therefore less time available to spend with their employees and they also see their positions as having higher status thereby lessening their interest in developing others. Third, employees of fivestar hotels would rate the quality of service provided to clients higher reflecting not only their expectations of an association of star rating and quality of service, but the tangible high quality and ambience of the hotel itself and the quality of its offerings (food, gift shop, gym, etc.)

Respondents

Table the demographic presents characteristics of the sample. Slightly over half were male (60%), most were 27 years of age or younger (56%), most had 5 years of less of organizational tenure (74%), most had a high school education (53%), most worked in the food and beverage department (36%), and respondents were equally divided into fourhotels (53% five-star and 47%, respectively). There was a slightly higher percentage of males in Front Office and food and beverage departments and a slightly lower percentage of males in Accounting and Housekeeping

Table 1
Demographic Characteristics of Sample

<u>Gender</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Males	122	59.8	18 – 22	41	21.8
Females	82	40.2	23 – 27	64	34.0
			28 - 32	49	26.1
Education			33 – 37	23	12.2
Elementary	36	18.3	38 or above	17	8.8
High School	104	52.8			
University	57	29.0			
			<u>Organizational tenure</u>		
<u>Department</u>			1 – 5 years		
Front office	40	20.3	6 – 10	130	73.9
Food & beverage	71	36.0	11 or more	33	18.8
Accounting	21	10.8		13	7.4
Housekeeping	38	19.3	<u>Hotel rating</u>		
Other	27	13.7	4 star	104	52.8
			5 star	93	47.2

Measures

Personal and work situation characteristics

Six personal and work situation characteristics were assessed by single items. These were: gender, age, level of education, organizational tenure, department, and whether respondent worked in a four- or five-star hotel.

Servant leadership

Servant leadership was measured by a 28 item scale developed by Liden, Wayne, Zhao and Henderson (2008). Respondents indicated the degree to which each item described their supervisor/manager on a five point scale of agreement (1=strongly disagree, 3=neither agree nor disagree, 5=strongly agree). scale had seven dimensions. In addition, a four item measures of Role model was created specifically for this study. Each scale was found to have high levels of internal consistency reliability (α). Emotional healing (α =.82), Creating value for the community (α =.79), Conceptual skills (α =.80), Empowering (α =.78), Helping employees grow and succeed (α =.85), Putting employees first (α =.85), Behaving ethically () α =.86), and Role model (α =.81)l; A composite measure based on the eight dimensions had a reliability of .91.Scores on the eight dimensions were all positively and significantly inter-correlated ranging from a high of . 69.

Service quality

Perceptions of the quality of service provided by the hotel to clients was measured by a 22 item instrument, SERVQUAL, developed by Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (1988). Respondents indicated their perceptions of the quality of service provided to clients on a five point scale (1=strongly

disagree, 3-neither agree nor disagree, 5-This measure had five strongly agree). dimensions: Tangibles (4 items, α =.86), physical facilities, equipment, appearance of staff; Reliability (5 items, α =.87), provide service, dependable, promised accountable; Responsiveness, 4 items, α =.182), willingness to help clients and providing prompt service; Assurance4 items, α =.79), knowledgeable and courteous staff able to inspire trust and confidence, and Empathy, (5 items, α =.86), individual and caring attention to clients. The total SERVQUAL scale, 22 items, had an internal consistency reliabili8ty of .94), Scores on the five dimensions were all positively and significantly correlated, with a mean inter-correlation of .70.

Results

Five -star versus four-star hotel differences

Table 2 presents the differences on all study measures for respondents working in five-star and four-star hotels. The following comments are given in summary. considering personal demographic items (top third of Table 2), no star rating level differences were present, confirming our hypothesis. Second, moving to perceptions of levels of servant leadership provided to respondents by their supervisors/managers, significant differences were present on al l eight dimensions and on the total score. Respondents working in four-star hotels rated the levels of servant leadership provided to them higher in each case, again confirming our hypothesis. Finally, considering perceptions of quality of service provided to clients presented in the bottom third of Table 2, no differences were observed between four-star and five-star hotels, findings counter to our expectations.

Table 2 Four – versus Five – Star Hotels

		Four Star			<u>ive Star</u>		
Personal demographics	<u>X</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>P</u>
Gender	1.4	.49	104	1.4	.50	93	NS
Age	28.2	6.72	94	26.9	5.70	90	NS
Tenure	3.8	3.0	87	4.2	3.44	85	NS
Servant Leadership							
Emotionalsupport	3.8	.90	104	3.5	.77	93	.05
Creating value	3.7	.74	104	3.4	.79	93	.01
Conceptualskills	3.9	.78	103	3.4	.92	93	.001
Empowering	3.6	1.00	104	3.1	.78	93	.001
Helping others	3.6	.95	104	3.1	.94	93	.001
Employeesfirst	3.1	1.02	104	2.9	1.03	93	.05
Be having ethically	4.0	.97	101	3.7	.85	93	.01
Role model	3.7	.88	101	3.4	.88	93	.05
Total servant leadership	3.7	.73	101	3.3	.63	93	.001
Service quality							
Tangibles	3.9	.78	104	3.9	.62	93	NS
Reliability	4.0	.75	104	3.9	.65	93	NS
Responsiveness	4.0	.72	104	4.0	.64	93	NS
Assurance	4.1	.72	104	4.0	.66	93	NS
Empathy	4.0	.78	104	3.8	.64	93	NS
Total Service Quality	4.0	.67	104	3.9	.55	93	NS

Discussion

The findings obtained in this study important useful make several and contributions. First, as expected, employees working in four- and five star hotels were similar on personal demographic and work situation items (e.g., age, gender, tenure) ruling these out as possible explanations of other findings (see Table 2). Second, though employees generally rated the levels of servant leadership they received supervisors /managers fairly high, employees of four star hotels rated the levels of servant received from their leadership they supervisors/managers higher than employees of five-star hotels (see Table 2). Third, there were no differences in views of levels of service quality provided to clients by respondents of four-star and five-star hotels, contrary to expectations (see Table 2).

These findings raise some interesting questions. Five-star hotels, higher rated, supposedly offer higher quality of both tangible(physical plant, appearance, ambience) and intangible services (quality of food, quality of staff, quality of service), and as a result they can charge more for this more highly rated experience. Five star hotels can pay their staff higher wages, attract and recruit higher quality and devote more resources developing, training and rewarding their staff. But supervisors and managers of five-star hotels may have greater job responsibilities and higher performance expectations placed upon them. As a consequence they may be less able to perform the personal leadership behaviors associated with servant leadership.

Front-line service employees work very long hours for low pay under relatively poor supervision in all hotels, As a result, given this reality, perceptions of quality of service in four- and five-star hotels provided by overworked and stressed front-line workers is likely to be similar. In addition, clients of five-star hotels are likely to have higher expectations of quality service than clients of four-star hotels, and are more likely to "complain" when their expectations are not being met.

And at another level, one can question the validity of some of the four- and five-star hotel ratings as well. Countries have created rating systems of quality of hotels to help consumers make informed choices about what to expect for the monies they pay. Higher rated hotels are "expected" to provide a "better" experience (higher quality) than lower rated hotels. Higher rated hotels offer a higher level of both tangible and intangible experiences to their guests.

Practical implications

Several practical implications follow from our findings. First, five star hotels should examine the quality of leadership, and the types of leadership (e.g., transactional, transformational, leader-member exchange) being provided by its supervisors and managers. Second, five-star hotels are seen as providing a higher quality of service and experience to clients, thus resulting in charging higher rates. Our findings indicate that employees working in five-star hotels do not perceive this to be the case. Third, we found that workers in both four- and five-star hotels perceiving a higher level of servant leadership provided to them by supervisors/managers also rated the quality of service they provided to clients at a higher level.

Future research directions

These preliminary results suggest that undertaking further research comparing the experiences and work outcomes of employees of four- and five-star hotels has merit. First, additional important work and well-being outcomes need to be included. The former would include levels of work engagement, intent to quit, engaging in employee voice and organizational citizenship behaviors; the latter would include work and family conflict, burnout, and psychosomatic symptoms. Second, including employee views on the features and ambience of their hotel would determine whether they see differences between hotels of different star levels in other related quality areas. Third, conducting this research in other regions in Turkey would add to our understanding of potential boundary conditions of our results. Fourth, using a longitudinal design and incorporating data from supervisors and their managers, would allow researchers to include indicators of absenteeism and turnover data as well as performance evaluation ratings supervisors/managers. Fifth, it would also be possible to include client perceptions of service quality and their satisfaction with service provided as well as other more "objective" measures of hotel effectiveness. Sixth, an evaluation of efforts to enhance levels of servant leadership provided by supervisors/ managers via training would also have potentially useful implications.

Limitations

Some limitations should be noted to put the results into a larger context. First, all data were collected using respondent self-reports raising the limited possibility of response set tendencies. Second, the sample, while reasonably large, may not be representative of all four-star and five-star hotel employees in Turkey. Third, all properties were located in one region of Turkey and again may not be representative of hotel employees working in other regions of the country. Fourth, this study examined on one type of leadership approach;

future research might profit by including assessments of other types of leadership approaches being taken by supervisor/managers.

Footnotes

* We thank the hotel managers and our respondents for their cooperation. Preparation of this manuscript was supported in part by Onsekiz Mart University, York University and the University of Texas at Tyler.

References

Antonakis, J., Cianiolo, A., & Sternberg, R. J. (2004) The nature of leadership. Thousand Oaks, CA.: Sage

Ayupp, K., & Chung, T. H., (2010) Empowerment: Hotel employees' perspective. Journal of Industrial engineering and Management, 3, S61-S75.

Boyatzis, R.E. & McKee, A. (2005) Resonant leadership: Renewing yourself and connecting with others through mindfulness, hope, and compassion. Cambridge, MA.: Harvard University Press.

Duman, T, & Tosun, C. (2010) Current developments in Turkish tourism. Anatolia, 21, 5-9.

George, W. (2003) authentic leadership: Rediscovering the secrets to creating lasting value. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Gokovali, U. (2010). Contribution of tourism to economic growth in Turkey. Anatolia, 21, 139-153.

Greenleaf, R. K., (1977) Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness. New York: Paulist Press.

Kusluvan, S. (2003) Managing employee attitudes and behaviors in the tourism and hospitality sector. New York: Nova Science.

Kusluvan, S, & Kusluvan, Z. (2000) Perceptions land attitudes of undergraduate tourism students towards working in the tourism industry in Turkey. Tourism Management, 21, 251-271.

Kusluvan, S. Kusluvan, Z., Ilhan, I., & Buyruk, L. (2010) The human dimension: A review of human resources management issues in the tourism and hospitality industry. Cornell Hospitality Quarterly, 51, 171-214

Liden, R. C., Wayne, s. J., Zhao, H., & Henderson, D. (2008)Servant leadership: Development of a multidimensional measure and multi-level assessment. Leadership Quarterly, 19, 161-177.

Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L L. (1998) SERVQUAL: A multi-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality, Journal of Retailing, 64, 12-40.

Yesiltas, M., Ozturk, Y., & Hemmington, N. (2010) Tourism education in Turkey and implications for human resources. Anatolia: An international Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Research, 21, 55-71.